

Our ref.: DIH

Date: 2010-01-07

## Secretariat of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 24

### "Computer graphics, image processing and environmental data representation"

Title: Results of ballot N 3113 Amendment to ISO/IEC 18023-1: 2006 (18023-1 Amd1) - Text for PDAM ballot

Source: ITTF

Replaces: --

Document Type: Vote results

Projects: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006 Amd 1

Status: APPROVED

Action ID: Comments sent to WG6 Convenor for preparation of the FPDAM

Due Date: --

Distribution: P, O, L, Members  
Secretariat JTC 1, ITTF, SC 24 Chairman and WG Convenors

Date of Distribution: 2010-01-07

Distribution Medium: Web

## Result of voting

### Ballot Information:

<b>Ballot reference:</b>	N 3113 Amendment to ISO/IEC 18023-1: 2006 (18023-1 Amd1) - Text for PDAM ballot
<b>Ballot type:</b>	CD/FCD
<b>Ballot title:</b>	
<b>Opening date:</b>	2009-06-03
<b>Closing date:</b>	2009-09-03
<b>Note:</b>	

### Member responses:

<b>Votes cast (8)</b>	Australia (SA) China (SAC) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Portugal (IPQ) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
<b>Comments submitted (0)</b>	
<b>Votes not cast (2)</b>	Egypt (EOS) France (AFNOR)

### Questions:

<b>Q.1</b>	"Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"
<b>Q.2</b>	"If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
<b>Q.3</b>	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

### Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"

<b>5 x</b>	<b>Approval as presented</b>	<b>Australia (SA) China (SAC) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) United Kingdom (BSI)</b>
<b>1 x</b>	<b>Approval with comments</b>	<b>USA (ANSI)</b>
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Disapproval of the draft</b>	

<b>2 x</b>	<b>Abstention</b>	<b>Germany (DIN) Portugal (IPQ)</b>
------------	-------------------	---

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"		
<b>0 x</b>	<b>General</b>	
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Technical</b>	
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Editorial</b>	
<b>1 x</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>USA (ANSI)</b>
<b>7 x</b>	<b>Ignore</b>	<b>Australia (SA) China (SAC) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Portugal (IPQ) United Kingdom (BSI)</b>

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"		
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>0 x</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>8 x</b>	<b>Ignore</b>	<b>Australia (SA) China (SAC) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Portugal (IPQ) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)</b>

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
<b>USA (ANSI)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-08-24 18:39:49
<a href="#">CommentFiles/N_3113_Amendment_to_ISO_IEC_18023-1__2006_(18023-1_Amd1)_-_Text_for_PDAM_ballot_ANSI.doc</a>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09	Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x SEDRIS Functional Specification
----------------	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US	Entire Document		Ge	The content of this document should track the approved SCRs.		
US	All of Clause 7		Ge	Many of the merge location specifications incorrectly list the subclauses as being 7.7.2.xx. These should all be of the form 7.2.xx.		
US	5.3.3.15		Te		Two occurrences of "MEANTING" should be "MEANING".	
US	6.2.95, 6.2.97		Te		The first merge instruction for 6.2.95 belongs to 6.2.97.	
US	6.2.181		Te	Under 6.2.181, the second merge instruction is incorrect.	It should probably refer to the "Associate with (two-way)" row. The described text does not exist in the "Composed of (two-way)" row.	
US	6.2.239		Te	Under 6.2.239, the first merge instruction makes no sense. There are no field elements labeled column_width and row_width.	The merge instruction should be removed.	
US	6.2.282, 6.2.283		Te		Under 6.2.282 and 6.2.283, It is not clear whether the SRF instance label "BRITISH_NATIONAL_GRID" should be changed to "BRITISH_NATIONAL_GRID_AIRY" to agree with the text change specified. Also, there is only one example in each DRM class so the phrase "the third example in" should be removed from the merge instructions in both cases.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09

Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x  
SEDRIS Functional Specification

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US	7.2.18		Te		In the third merge instruction for 7.2.18, "srf_context_info" should be hyperlinked to "DataItems/Environment_Root.html#srf_ext_info".	
US	7.2.18		Te		In the 4th merge instruction for 7.2.18, the replacement text should be "component tree of".	
US	7.2.20		Te		The first merge instruction for 7.2.20 requires a change in the index but no change in the Topics table is specified. The merge instruction should include a requirement to change the text and hyperlink in the Topics table.	
US	7.2.36		Te		In the merge instruction for 7.2.36, there is no occurrence of "<DRM Geometry Node>". It should probably be "<DRM Geometry Edge>".	
US	7.2.40		Te		For 7.2.40, the replacement text cited in the 2nd merge instruction for c.3.ii should not be italicized.	
US	7.2.41		Te	The first merge instruction for 7.2.41 requires a change in the index but no change in the Topics table is specified.	The merge instruction should include a requirement to change the text and hyperlink in the Topics table.	
US	7.2.42		Te	In the replacement text of the 4th merge instruction for 7.2.42, there is a plurality mismatch:	"instances" should be "instance".	
US	7.2.43		Te		In the 3rd merge instruction for 7.2.43, there are two occurrences that need to be changed	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09	Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x <b>SEDRIS Functional Specification</b>
----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					and this should be stated.	
US	7.2.43		Te		In the 4th merge instruction for 7.2.43, the replacement text should not be italicized.	
US	7.2.43		Te		In the last merge instruction for 7.2.43, the text to be replaced should be “component <DRM Table Property Description> instance”.	
US			Te		In the 5th merge instruction, the replacement text is missing. It should probably be “<DRM Distance LOD Data> link objects”.	
US	7.2.45		Te		In the last two merge instructions for 7.2.45, the hyperlinks should be removed from the replacement text.	
US			Te		In the 4th paragraph of the <DRM Responsible Party> entry, the word “contain” should be “specify”.	
US	7.2.47		Te		In the 3rd merge instruction for 7.2.47, the text to be replaced should be “value set to”.	
US	7.2.49		Te		The merge instructions for 7.2.49 should specify that the list items should be capitalized.	
US	7.2.51		Te		In item d of 7.2.51, “field” should be “field value”.	
US	7.2.51		Te		In item d of 7.2.51, “instnace” should be “instance”.	
US	7.2.54		Te		In item b.1 of 7.2.54, “<DRM Spatial Extent> instance” should be “<DRM Spatial Extent>	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09	Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x <b>SEDRIS Functional Specification</b>
----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					component”.	
US	7.2.54		Te		In item b.4 of 7.2.54, “instances” should be “components”.	
US	7.2.57		Te		In Item d of 7.2.57, “otherwise” should be replaced by “otherwise,”.	
US	7.2.60		Te		The 4th merge instruction for 7.2.60 should be “In Item b.3, replace “shall not overlap” with “may have overlapping boundaries but no overlapping interiors”.	
US	7.2.64		Te		In the 2nd merge instruction for 7.2.64, the last three sentences should be removed.	
US	7.2.65		Te	The first merge instruction for 7.2.65 is not complete.	In addition to the changes specified, the word “either” should be removed.	
US	Clause 3— Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations		Ed	The table of abbreviations uses two different styles of leading.	The leading providing more space should be used.	
US	Clause 4— Concepts		Ed	The change labeled as being at 4.7.2.2 is actually to 4.9.5.2. The change labeled as to 4.9.5.2, is actually to 4.7.2.2.		
US	Clause 4— Concepts		Ed	The change labeled as being to “4.21.2 Registration of set members” is actually to “4.21.2 Registration of set members”.		
US	Clause 6— DRM class definitions		Ed		In the comment on 6.2.89 Clarifications, the quotes between notes 3 and 4 should be removed.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09	Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x <b>SEDRIS Functional Specification</b>
----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)</b>	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)</b>	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations on each comment submitted</b>
US	Clause 6— DRM class definitions		Ed		In 6.2.281 and 6.2.282, the font of the row specification is incorrect. It should just be italicized.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In 7.2.5, the quotes between items 5 and 6 should be removed.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed	The title of 7.2.6 in the amendment is incorrect.	It should be “Constraints on components”.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In 7.2.12, there are four objects d, e, f, and g to append.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In 7.2.23, there is no opening quotation mark for the replacement text.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the merge instructions for 7.2.28, the replacement text should not be italicized except for the DRM class names of abstract DRM classes.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the 2nd merge instruction for 7.2.45, “InIn” should be “In”	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the last merge instruction for 7.2.61, “>” should be replace by a period.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the 3rd merge instruction for 7.2.64, the insertion text should be enclosed in quotes.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 08/03/09	Document: ISO/IEC 18023-1:2006/pAmd1:200x <b>SEDRIS Functional Specification</b>
----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)</b>	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)</b>	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations on each comment submitted</b>
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the merge location for 7.2.65, the “Item a” should be moved to the first merge instruction.	
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed	In the 1st merge instruction for 7.2.69, there is some improper html.		
US	Clause 7— DRM class constraints		Ed		In the 1st merge instruction for 7.2.71, the replacement text should not be italicized.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.